

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/517,613 | 03/02/2000 | Thiru Srinivasan | 1642(42059-01010) | 4139 | |
| · 25231 | 7590 01/12/2007 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| • | ISCHMANN & BREY I VAUGHN WAY | FOGLE LLP | - ,, | | |
| SUITE 411 | | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| AURORA, (| CO 80014 | | | | |

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | ₹, | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37) | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| | | 09/517,613 | SRINIVASAN, THIRU | | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | David E. England | 2143 | | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| The Ap | opeal Brief filed on <u>11 October 2006</u> is defective | for failure to comply with one or r | more provisions | of 37 CFR 41.37. | | | | |
| 1205.0 | id dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an 3) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from t ISIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRA | he mailing date of this Notificatio | ate correction (se n, whichever is l | ee MPEP onger. | | | | |
| 1. 🗌 | The brief does not contain the items required u heading or in the proper order. | under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper | | | | | | |
| 2. | The brief does not contain a statement of the s canceled), or does not identify the appealed cla | orief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, eled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)). | | | | | | |
| 3. | At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)). | | | | | | | |
| 4. 🛚 | (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)). | | | | | | | |
| 5. 🗌 | he brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 1.37(c)(1)(vi)) | | | | | | | |
| 6. | The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)). | | | | | | | |
| 7. | ne brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR I.37(c)(1)(viii)). | | | | | | | |
| 8. | The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a tatement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix nereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)). | | | | | | | |
| 9. 🗌 | The brief does not contain copies of the decision identified in the Related Appeals and Interferent 41.37(c)(1)(x)). | | | | | | | |
| 10.🛛 | Other (including any explanation in support of t | he above items): | | | | | | |
| | The Appellant does not have a concise explanation involved in the appeal. The Appellant only has a small does not disclose the specific teachings of the indepsubject matter can be found in the specification and | all explanation of a very general apported and the second control of the second control | lication of the inve | ntion and | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100